

Synthesis, X-ray and Electronic Structure of Trinickel Tetradecker Sandwich Complexes $\{(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Ni[\mu,\eta^5-(CR^1)_2(BR^2)_2CR^3]\}_2Ni^{\ddagger}$

Walter Siebert^{* a}, Wilfried Herter^a, Hartmut Schulz^a, Stefan Huck^a, Hans Pritzkow^a, Longgen Zhu^{a1+1}, and Odile Eisenstein^b

Anorganisch-Chemisches Institut der Universität Heidelberg^a, Im Neuenheimer Feld 270, D-69120 Heidelberg, F.R.G.

Laboratoire de Chimie Théorique, Bâtiment 490, Université de Paris-Sud^b, 91405 Orsay, France

Received January 18, 1993

Key Words: Nickel, bis[$(\eta^5$ -cyclopentdienyl)nickel(μ,η^5 -1,3-diborolyl)] / Tetradecker complexes / Calculations, Fenske-Hall

The reduction of the 18-VE complexes $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Ni[\eta^5-(CR^1)_2(BR^2)_2CR^3]$ (**2a**, **b**) with potassium in THF leads to the paramagnetic anions **2**⁻, which react with NiBr₂ · DME to give the triple-decker complexes $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Ni[\mu,\eta^5-(CR^1)_2(BR^2)_2CR^3]Ni(\eta^5-C_5H_5)$ (**3a**, **b**) and the tetradecker { $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Ni[\mu,\eta^5-(CR^1)_2(BR^2)_2CR^3]$ } Ni (**4b**). Surprisingly, **4b** is also obtained by heating the double-decker complex **2b** and H₂AlOCMe₃ in THF. The reaction between **2c** and AlH₃ in Et₂O leads to the substitution of the ethoxy groups at the boron atoms and to the formation of the tetradecker **4d** with four B–H groups. Heating of the 1,3-benzodiborole ligand **1e** and [(C_5H_5)Ni(CO)]₂ in toluene yields small amounts of **2e** and **3e**,

Alkyl derivatives of the 2,3-dihydro-1,3-diborole heterocycle 1 react with an excess of $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Ni(CO)]_2$ or $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Ni(CO)]_2$ C_5H_5)Ni(η^3 - C_3H_5)] via the 18-valence electron (VE) sandwich 2 to give the paramagnetic, green triple-decker sandwich complexes $3^{[1,2]}$. For the construction of the tetradecker 4 a formal insertion of the 13-VE (η^{5} -2,3-dihydro-1,3diborolyl)nickel stack [(1-H)Ni] into the 33-VE tripledecker 3 is required. An early attempt to synthesize the trinickel tetradecker 4a by the reaction of two sandwich anions $2a^-$ with NiCl₂ gave only a very small amount of a green product; its mass spectrum indicated the formation of $4a^{[3]}$. This result is in contrast to the high-yield synthesis of the tetradecker sandwich series $\{(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)Co[\mu,\eta^5 - (CR^1)_2 (BR^{2})_{2}CR^{3}$ $]_{2}M$ (M = Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn)^[2,4] from two 18-VE sandwich anions $\{(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Co[\eta^5-(CR^1)_2(BR^2)_2 CR^{3}$]⁻ and MX₂. The bridging 1,3-diborolyl ligands adopt an antiperiplanar configuration, the observed slip distortions depend on the central metal. EHMO calculations of these trinuclear complexes have been reported^[5].

Recently, Grimes et al. synthesized the first examples of two new classes of tetradecker sandwich complexes. The "hybrid" class of tetradecker has C_2B_3 and C_3B_2 bridging rings^[6a], and the $\{(C_5Me_5)Co[(CEt)_2(BH)_2BX]\}_2M$ tetradecker complexes^[6b] (M = Co, Ni; X = MeCO, Cl, Br) have two bridging C_2B_3 carborane ligands.

and the tetradecker **4e**. X-ray structure analyses were performed for **4d** and **4e**. Complex **4d** is centrosymmetric with relatively short nickel-to-bridging ligand distances (1.69, 1.70 Å). In the tetradecker **4e** the two bridging ligands adopt a synclinal conformation (rotating about 36° resp. 41° against each other). The Ni–Ni distance (3.42, 3.43) is slightly longer than in **4d** (3.39 Å). The electronic structures of the tripledecker cation **3**⁺ (32 VE) and of the tetradecker **4** (46 VE) have been investigated by means of the Fenske-Hall method. The findings are in agreement with the experimental results that **3**⁺ is a triplet species and **4** possesses a closed-shell electron configuration.

A general approach to stacked (2,3-dihydro-1,3-diborolyl)nickel compounds is provided by the reactions of 1,3diboroles 1 with bis(allyl)nickel. The trinickel tetradecker compounds^[7] bis[(η^3 -allyl)nickel(μ, η^5 -2,3-dihydro-1,3-diborolyl)]nickel, [(η^3 -C₃H₅)Ni(1-H)]₂Ni are obtained by using a 1:2 molar ratio. These 42-VE trinuclear complexes are diamagnetic, in contrast to isoelectronic {(η^5 -C₅H₅)Co[μ, η^5 -(CR¹)₂(BR²)₂CR³]}₂Fe complexes, which possess an electronic high-spin configuration.

The bis(η^{5} -2,3-dihydro-1,3-diborole)nickel sandwich complexes (1)₂Ni, formed by the reaction of 1 with Ni(η^{3} -C₃H₅)₂ in a 2:1 ratio, exhibit an unusual reactivity^[8]. After elimination of hydrogen from the 2-position of the heterocycle, they undergo capping and stacking reactions, leading to the formation of a series of bis[(η -2,3,5-tricarbahexaboranyl)-



^[+] Present address: Coordination Chemistry Institute, University Nanjing, PR China.

nickel](μ,η^{5} -2,3-dihyro-1,3-diborolyl) complexes^[8,9] [η^{5} -(CR¹)₂(BR²)₃CR³]Ni{[μ,η^{5} -(CR¹)₂(BR²)₂CR³]Ni}_n[η^{5} -(CR¹)₂(BR²)₃CR³], n = 1, 2, 3.

These findings prove that stacking reactions with these nickel complexes occur even at room temperature; however, the capping reaction with the formation of the tricarbahexaboranyl ligand prevents the formation of polymeric 1,3diborolylnickel compounds. They are obtained by thermal condensation reactions of tris(allyl)dinickel-µ,n⁵-1,3-diborolyl triple-decker complexes^[10]. To extend our knowledge of the formation and the electronic structure of tetradecker species the possibility of connecting two 18-VE doubledecker complexes 2 with nickel to yield 4 was investigated. Previously we have found that in the isovalence electronic NiPtNi tetradecker 5a the bridging ligands adopt a hitherto unknown synclinale conformation^[11]. In this paper we report on the synthesis of the diamagnetic tetradecker complexes 4b, 4d and 4e, the X-ray structure analyses of 4d and 4e, and Fenske-Hall SCF MO calculations.

Results and Discussion

Formation of the Tetradecker Sandwich Complexes 4b, 4d and 4e

The reaction of the sandwich 2a with potassium in THF results in a brown solution, which on treatment with NiBr₂ · DME gives 2a (30%) and the triple-decker 3a (22%), however no tetradecker 4a. In contrast, treatment of the sandwich 2b with potassium in THF yields a green solution; its reaction with NiBr₂ · DME leads to 2b (5%), 3b (8%), and dark green 4b (13%). In both reactions with potassium the 19-VE sandwich anions $2a^-$ and $2b^-$ are formed, identified by their ESR spectra. $2a^-$ exhibits a g value of 2.030.

An additional signal of an unknown compound is found at g = 2.070, its intensity increases when the sample is stored for several days at room temperature. $2\mathbf{b}^-$ (g = 2.030) is less stable.



It is well-known^[12] that the sandwich complexes ferrocene and cobaltocene react with alkali metal in donor solvents to form MCp fragments. We assume that an analogous reaction occurs with **2a**, **b** yielding a reactive [(1-H)Ni] stacking fragment, which might form oligomeric or polymeric products. Surprisingly, the tetradecker **4b** is obtained by heating orange **2b** and H₂AlOCMe₃ in THF to 65°C. A slow color change to green is observed, and distillation of the reaction mixture gives 2b and 3b. The residue is chromatographed to yield green 4b (27%). The reaction of 2cwith H₂AlOCMe₃ in petroleum ether at room temperature gives a green solution, from which a mixture of 2c/2d and 3d (3.5%) is isolated. The use of the more reactive AlH₃ in diethyl ether leads to the green triple-decker 3d (5%) and to the dark green tetradecker 4d (16%).

The formation of the tetradecker 4d indicates that not only substitution of the ethoxy group at the boron but also cleavage of the sandwich 2d must occur to supply nickel atoms, required for the stacking of two sandwich complexes 2d to give the tetradecker 4d. A related reaction sequence proceeds when the 1,3-benzodiborole 1e and dimeric carbonyl(cyclopentadienyl)nickel are heated in toluene for two hours. From the reaction mixture a small amount of yellow 2e and only traces of green 3e as well as the green tetradecker 4e (20%) are separated by column chromatography. The formation of 4e most likely occurs by complexation of a nickel atom by two sandwich units 2e since it is known that $[(C_5H_5)Ni(CO)]_2$ decomposes above $120^{\circ}C$ to give (C₅H₅)₂Ni, CO, and nickel. In 2e, 3e, and 4e the ligand 1e-H functions as a three-electron donor, two of the electrons are supplied by the benzo system.

The diamagnetic sandwich complexes 2 and the tetradecker sandwich complexes 4 were identified by NMR and MS studies (see Experimental). The paramagnetic tripledeckers 3 were detected by their mass spectra. Diamagnetic 46-VE 4b, d, e exhibit a singlet for C_5H_5 ($\delta = 4.94$, 4.91, 3.02), a singlet for CH [3.26, 3.7 (br), 2.33 (CH₃)], an ABX₃ spin system for C_2H_5 [1.9 (m), 1.27 (t); 1.8 (m), 1.56 (t)], and a singlet for BCH₃ (0.67, 0.07). No signal is found for B–H in 4d. The ¹¹B-NMR signal ($\delta = 6$, br) for 4d does not show B–H coupling, the shift is typical of compounds with bifacial coordination of the bridging 2,3-dihydro-1,3-diborolyl ring^[2,9]. The ¹¹B-NMR signal for 4b is shifted to $\delta = 16.3$.

Crystal Structures of 4d and 4e

The tetradecker molecule **4d** is centrosymmetric. The two bridging ligands are arranged antiperiplanar (Figure 1). The best planes through the 2,3-dihydro-1,3-diborolyl (± 0.02 Å) and the cyclopentadienyl ring (± 0.005 Å) are almost parallel (2.4°). The Ni atoms lie above and below the centroids of the rings. The distances of the terminal and central Ni atoms to the C₂B₂C ring (1.69, 1.70 Å) are quite short compared to the distances in the corresponding tetradecker^[2] with the metal sequence Co Ni Co (1.76 Å), but slightly greater than in the sandwich **2a** (1.66 Å)^[13].

In the asymmetric unit of **4e** two independent tetradecker molecules were found, which show no significant differences. The two bridging 2,3-dihydro-1,3-benzodiborolyl ligands (Figure 2) adopt a synclinal conformation (rotated about 36° resp. 41° against each other). The distances from the Ni atoms to the best planes through the heterocycle lie in the range 1.70 to 1.73 Å, and to the planes through the cyclopentadienyl rings 1.74 and 1.75 Å. The rings of the same molecule are almost parallel (angles <3°, the cyclopentadienyl rings showing somewhat larger deviations up to 6°).



Figure 1. Molecular structure of 4d; selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: Ni1–Ni2 3.389(2), Ni1–B1 2.168(4), Ni1–B3 2.151(4), Ni1–C2 2.101(3), Ni1–C4 2.109(3), Ni1–C5 2.122(3), Ni2–B1 2.143(4), Ni2–B3 2.139(4), Ni2–C2 2.126(3), Ni2–C4 2.139(3), Ni2–C5 2.141(3); C2–B1–C5 104.4(3), B1–C2–B3 111.1(3), C2–B3–C4 103.8(3), B3–C4–C5 110.2(3), C4–C5–B1 110.4(3)



Figure 2. Molecular structure of 4e; selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°] (average values): Ni1(3)–Ni2 3.435(2), Ni1(3)–B 2.163(13), Ni1(3)–C2(14) 2.136(11), Ni1(3)–C4(5,16,17) 2.168(11), Ni2–B 2.186(13), Ni2–C2(14) 2.123(11), Ni2–C4(5,16,17) 2.157(11); C2–B1–C5 103.9(9), B1–C5–C4 110.2(8), C4–B3–C2 103.9(8), C5–C4–B3 110.4(8), B3–C2–B1 111.5(9)

The Ni–Ni distances (3.42 - 3.43 Å) are slightly greater than in 4d (3.39 Å). In both structures the C₂B₂C ring is slightly bent along B1–B3 with the boron atoms closer to the terminal Ni atoms. The structures can be compared with that of the tetradecker 5a, in which the central atom is platinum^[11]. The NiPtNi tetradecker shows a similar synclinal conformation (44° rotation of the C_2B_2C rings against each other) as 4e. As expected the distances to the central Pt atom are greater; however, the distances from the Ni atom to both rings have increased, too (Ni- C_5C_5 1.79, Ni- C_2B_2C 1.76 Å). These elongations may be caused by the four ethyl groups on the diborolyl ring which point toward the cyclopentadienyl ring.

Electronic Structure and Bonding

To obtain an insight into the electronic structure, the NiNi triple-decker sandwich complex cation $\{(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)Ni[\mu,\eta^5-(CH)_2(BH)_2CH]Ni(\eta^5-C_5H_5]\}^+$ (3⁺) and the NiNiNi tetradecker $\{(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Ni[\mu,\eta^5(CH)_2(BH)_2CH]\}_2Ni$ (4) have been investigated by means of the Fenske-Hall method⁽¹⁴⁾. The oligodecker sandwich complexes can be viewed as being composed of several fragments whose electronic structures have been studied^[15]. A Mulliken population analysis with respect to the molecular orbitals of the fragments provides the gross populations which are represented in Figures 3, 5 and 6 above or below the bars representing the energy level of the fragment orbitals.

Sandwich Complex 2

The sandwich 2 can be viewed as being composed of the two fragments $[CpNi]^+$ and $(C_2B_2C)^-$. Since the rotation



Figure 3. Simplified interaction diagram for the interaction of $CpNi^+$ with $(C_2B_2C)^-$ fragments

around the metal-cyclopentadienyl axis is essentially unhindered, complex 2 displays C_s symmetry (mirror plane yz).

The complexes 3 and 4 also have C_s symmetry. Figure 3 shows only a simplified interaction diagram displaying orbitals of the two fragments which interact strongly with each other. The most cylindrically occupied 1a' orbital of the 1,3-diborolyl anion (C₂B₂C)⁻ has the proper local symmetry to interact with the empty 2a' orbital of [CpNi]⁺; likewise, 2a' of (C₂B₂C)⁻ overlaps strongly with the empty 1a' of [CpNi]⁺. Backdonation from the metal to the ligand occurs by the interaction of the filled 1a" of [CpNi]⁺ into the empty 1a' of (C₂B₂C)⁻. Six electrons are stabilized by these interactions. The other electrons are either non-bonding (6e) in the formal "t²₂g" set of the metal or involved in the Cp π bonding (6e). This yields a total of 18 VE, which corresponds to a stable situation equivalent to that of ferrocene.



Figure 4. Total overlap population of the nickel with the carbon and boron centers

Figure 4 describes the total overlap population of the Ni with the carbon and boron centers of the two rings. It appears that the $(C_2B_2C)^-$ ring is more tightly bound to Ni than the Cp ring. This is due to the fact that the d orbitals of Ni are closer in energy to the π orbitals of the $(C_2B_2C)^-$ and that the overlap between the two fragments is also stronger (more diffuse orbitals on boron, larger size of the ring).

Triple-decker Complex 3

The triple-decker 3^+ can be analyzed in a similar manner. A convenient way to discuss the bonding is to view 3^+ as being composed of $CpNi(C_2B_2C)$ and the $[CpNi]^+$ fragment. A simplified interaction diagram is shown in Figure 5. In this case also there is a good matching between occupied and empty orbitals of both fragments. 1a' of Cp-Ni(C₂B₂C) interacts with 2a' of [CpNi]⁺ while 2a' and 3a' of CpNi(C_2B_2C) interact with 1a' of [CpNi]⁺. This gives rise to a bonding orbital (2A'), a non-bonding one (3A'), and an anti-bonding (4A'). A similar orbital diagram arises from the a" orbitals. Eight electrons are stabilized in those interactions. The calculation shows that the non-bonding 2A" and 3A' are almost degenerate leading to a 32-VE paramagnetic system in agreement with the experiment and with the isoelectronic NiCo triple-decker^[1]. This confirms the earlier studies by Hoffmann et al.^[16] on triple-deckers. A 34-VE complex is less stable since two electrons are added to nonbonding orbitals.



Figure 5. Simplified interaction diagram for the interaction of $CpNi(C_2B_2C)$ with the $CpNi^+$ fragment

Tetradecker Complex 4

A convenient way to analyze the bonding in the tetradecker complex 4 is to build up the system from CpNi- (C_2B_2C) and the [CpNi(C₂B₂C)Ni] fragment. A favorable interaction between frontier orbitals of the two fragments also occurs. A simplified interaction diagram (Figure 6) shows the interactions between the orbitals which are closer in energy. The system is diamagnetic for 46 VE. The data listed in Table 1 indicate that bonding between the central nickel atom (Ni_c) and the two $(C_2B_2C)^-$ rings is weaker than that between the two terminal nickel atoms (Nit) and the two bridging $(C_2B_2C)^-$ rings. This is in agreement with the experimental Ni-to- (C_2B_2C) ring distances $[Ni_c (C_2B_2C) = 1.70$ Å, Ni_t $-(C_2B_2C) = 1.69$ Å], although the difference is marginal. The orbitals of the CpNi fragment are more located on the Ni center than orbitals of the $(C_2B_2C)Ni$ fragments. This is due to the fact that Ni d orbitals mix more strongly with the π orbitals of $(C_2B_2C)^-$ than with that of Cp [this is responsible for the stronger $Ni(C_2B_2C)$ interaction]. As a consequence, Ni₁, which is bound to one Cp ring, interacts more strongly with $(C_2B_2C)^-$ than Ni_c, which

is bound to the other $(C_2B_2C)^-$. This accounts for the stronger $Ni_t(C_2B_2C)$ interaction as compared with $Ni_c(C_2B_2C)$.



Figure 6. Simplified interaction diagram for the interaction of CpNi(C₂B₂C) with the CpNi(C₂B₂C)Ni fragment

Table 1.	. Total	overlap	population	between	the	nickel	atoms	and
		the $C_2 \hat{B}_2$	Ĉ ring in 4	$(\mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{R}^2)$	= H) ^[a]		

	C4-C5 B3 B1 C2					
M	B1	C2	B3	C4	C5	
Nic	0.028	0.040	0.035	0.040	0.035	
Nit	0.065	0.062	0.067	0.050	0.049	

^[a] Ni_c: central nickel atom. – Ni_t; terminal nickel atom.

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB 247), the State Baden-Württemberg, the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie and the BASF AG. The Laboratoire de Chimie Théorique is associated with the CNRS (URA 506) and is a member of ICMO and IPCM.

Experimental

All experiments were carried out under nitrogen, the solvents were dried according to standard procedures. - NMR: Bruker AC 200 (¹H at 200.1 MHz, ¹³C at 50.3 MHz), Jeol FX-90Q (¹H at 90

MHz, ¹¹B at 28.75 MHz). – MS: MAT CH7 and VG Micromass 7070 H.

Reaction of Sandwich Anions 2^- with NiBr₂ · DME

a) To 30.0 mg (0.76 mmol) of a potassium mirror 200 mg (0.64 mmol) of $2a^{[13]}$ in 30 ml of THF was added, and the obtained mixture was stirred. Potassium reacted with 2a to form a brown solution of the anion $2a^-$. Solid NiBr₂ · DME^[17] (300 mg, 0.97 mmol) was added, which resulted in a color change of the solution to deep green. After stirring for 12 h a black solid was filtered off, the solvent evaporated in vacuo, and the residue was dissolved in petroleum ether. Chromatography of the obtained solution on silica gel yielded 60.0 mg (30%) of orange 2a and 30.0 mg (22%) of green 3a.

b) To a potassium mirror (30.0 mg, 0.76 mmol) 200 mg (0.74 mmol) of **2b** in 30 ml of THF was added to afford a green solution. After the addition of 350 mg (1.13 mol) of NiBr₂ · DME the color changed to deep green. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h, filtered, and the solvent was stripped off from the filtrate. Chromatography of the residue yielded 10.0 mg (5%) of **2b** and a mixture of **3b** and **4b** (identified by a mass spectral analysis). Destillation of the mixture gave 10.0 mg (8%) of **3b** (80-90°C/0.01 Torr) and at higher temperatures 30.0 mg (13%) of **4b**, m.p. 135-136°C. – EI MS, m/z (%): 600 (19), 598 (17) [M⁺], 393 (6) [**3b**⁺], 270 (100) [**2b**⁺].

Tetradecker 4b from 2b and $H_2AlOCMe_3$: A solution of 300 mg (1.11 mmol) of $2b^{(11)}$ and 110 mg (1.08 mmol) of $H_2AlOCMe_3^{[18]}$ in 20 ml of THF was heated to 65°C for 2 h, whereby the solution turned green. After removal of the solvent, 2b and 3b were distilled (80–100°C/0.01 Torr), and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel with *n*-hexane to yield 60.0 mg (27%) of dark green 4b, m.p. 135–136°C (from hexane). – ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta = 4.90$ (s, 10H), 3.26 (s, 2H), 1.9 (m, 8H), 1.27 (t, 12H), 0.67 (s, 12H). – ¹¹B NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta = 16.3. - C_{28}H_{44}B_4Ni_3$ (600.0): calcd. C 55.15, H 7.36; found C 56.05, H 7.39.

Tetradecker **4d** from **2c** and AlH_3 : To a solution of 700 mg (2.12 mmol) of **2c**^[19] in 20 ml of Et₂O at -60° C freshly prepared AlH₃^[20] (4.20 mmol) in Et₂O was added. An orange-brown solid formed which dissolved at room temp. A color change to deep green and the formation of a green-black solid occurred. After filtration the solvent was removed from the filtrate and the green triple-decker distilled at 90–100°C/0.01 Torr; yield: 20.0 mg (5%) of **3d**, m.p. 116–117°C. – EI MS, m/z (%): 365 (60) [M⁺], 297 (21), 281 (18), 266 (16), 242 (33) [**2d**⁺], 123 (38) [C₅H₅Ni⁺].

The black distillation residue was dissolved in petroleum ether $(40-60^{\circ}\text{C})$ and chromatographed on silica gel. With petroleum ether/toluene a deep green fraction was obtained, from which black-green crystals of **4d** [60.0 mg (11%)] were isolated; m.p. 134-135°C. - FD MS, m/z (%): 544 (100), 542 (81) [M⁺]. - EI MS, m/z (%): 542 (2.4) [M⁺], 242 (12) [**2d**⁺], 167 (52), 149 (100). - ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta = 4.94$ (s, 10H), 1.84 (m, 8H), 1.56 (t, 12H); signals of B₂CH and BH not found. - ¹¹B NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta = 5.6$. - IR (Nujol): $\tilde{v} = 2500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (B-H). - C₂₄H₃₆B₄Ni₃ (543.9): calcd. C 53.00, H 6.67; found C 52.79, H 6.76.

Tetradecker 4e from 1e and $[(C_5H_5)Ni(CO)]_2$: A solution of 0.18 g (0.60 mmol) of $[(C_5H_5)NiCO]_2^{[21]}$ and 0.27 g (1.70 mmol) of 1e in 30 ml of toluene was heated for 2 h to 125 °C, whereby the color changed from red-brown to deep green. Toluene was removed in vacuo and the residue dissolved in hexane. The obtained solution was chromatographed on silica to furnish 2 mg of yellow 2e. Using toluene, we obtained a green fraction consisting of traces of 3e and 4e. 100 mg (10%) of 4e was recrystallized from pentane (m.p. 160–161 °C).



Table 2. Crystal data of 4d and 4e

	4d	4e	
formula	C ₂₄ H ₃₆ B ₄ Ni ₃	C ₃₀ H ₃₆ B ₄ Ni ₃	
mol wt	543.9	615.9	
crystal system	monoclinic	monoclinic	
Space group	P2 ₁ /a	$P2_1/n$	
a [Å]	11.098(2)	9.696(9)	
b [Å]	12.223(1)	17.476(15)	
c [Å]	9.600(1)	34.20(2)	
β [°]	96.15(1)	92.22(7)	
V [Å ³]	1294.7	5790	
z	2	8	
D _{calc} [gcm ⁻³]	1.40	1.41	
$\mu [cm^{-1}]$	20.8	18.7	
cryst.dimens [mm]	0.3x0.5x0.6	0.1x0.3x0.5	
transmission,min.max.	0.63-0.75	0.63-1.00	
20 _{max} [°]	50	48	
diffractometer	Stoe 2-circle	Stoe 4-circle	
	(ω-scan, Mo Kα)	(ω-scan, Mo Kα)	
reflections			
measured	2336	9424	
observed	2070 (I> σ_{I})	4191 (I>2σ _I)	
hkl-range	14,14,±13	±11,19,38	
refinement			
anisotropic	Ni,C,B	Ni	
isotropic	Н	C, B, H	
no. of parameters	196	433	
R	0.036	0.064	
Rw	0.041	0.059	
max.residual electron			
density [e Å ⁻³]	0.3	0.5	

2e: ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta = 7.60 - 7.20$ (m, 4H), 4.45 (s, 5H), 1.50 (s, 3H), 1.25 (s, 6H). $-{}^{11}$ B NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta = 37$. - EI MS, m/z (%): 278 (100) [M⁺].

3e: EI MS, m/z (%): 401 (100) [M⁺], 335 (90) [M⁺ - C₅H₆].

4e: ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta = 7.1 - 6.9$ (m, 8 H), 3.02 (s, 10 H), 2.33 (s, 6H), 0.07 (s, 12H). $- C_{30}H_{36}B_4Ni_3$ (616.0): calcd. C 58.50, H 5.89; found C 58.76, H 5.93.

X-Ray Structure Determination of 4d and 4e^[22]: Crystal data are given in Table 2. The structures were solved by Patterson and difference Fourier maps and refined by least-squares methods. For 4d non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically, the hydrogen atoms directly bound to the heterocycle and to the cyclopentadienyl ring were located in a difference Fourier map and refined isotropically, the hydrogen atoms of the ethyl groups were included in calculated positions or as part of a rigid group. For 4e only the Ni atoms were refined anisotropically. The cyclopentadienyl rings were refined as rigid groups. Hydrogen atoms were included in calculated positions or as part of a rigid group. SHELX76 and SHELXS86^[23] were used for all calculations.

- Herrn Prof. Dr. Heinrich Nöth zum 65. Geburtstag gewidmet.
- ^[1] J. Edwin, M. Bochmann, M. C. Böhm, D. E. Brennan, W. E. Geiger, C. Krüger, J. Pebler, H. Pritzkow, W. Siebert, W. Swiridoff, H. Wadepohl, J. Weiss, U. Zenneck, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1983**, 105, 2582-2598.
- ^[2] W. Siebert, Angew. Chem. **1985**, 97, 924–939; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. **1985**, 24, 943–958.
- ^[3] J. Edwin, Dissertation, Universität Marburg, 1979.
- ^[4] W. Siebert, J. Edwin, H. Pritzkow, Angew. Chem. 1982, 94, 147; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1982, 21, 148; J. Edwin, M. C. Böhm, N. Chester, D. M. Hoffman, R. Hoffmann, H. Pritzkow, W. Siebert, K. Stumpf, H. Wadepohl, Organomet. 1983, 2, 1666-1674.
- ^[5] E. D. Jemmis, A. C. Reddy, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 722-727.
- ^[6] [6a] A. Feßenbecker, M. D. Attwood, R. N. Grimes, M. Stephan, H. Pritzkow, U. Zenneck, W. Siebert, Inorg. Chem. 1990, 29, 5164-5168. – ^[6b] K. W. Piepgrass, J. H. Jr. Davis, M. Sabat, R. N. Grimes, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 680-681; K. W. Piepgrass, X. Meng, M. Hölscher, M. Sabat, R. N. Grimes, Inorg. Chem. 1992, 31, 5202-5210.
- ^[7] T. Kuhlmann, W. Siebert, Z. Naturforsch., Teil B, 1984, 39, 1046-1049.
- ^[8] T. Kuhlmann, H. Pritzkow, U. Zenneck, W. Siebert, Angew. Chem. 1984, 96, 994-995; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1984, 23, 965-966; J. Zwecker, T. Kuhlmann, H. Pritzkow, W. Siebert, U. Zenneck, Organomet. 1988, 7, 2316–2324. ^[9] W. Siebert, Pure Appl. Chem. 1987, 59, 947–954. ^{(10]} T. Kuhlmann, S. Roth, J. Rozière, W. Siebert, Angew. Chem.
- **1986**, *98*, 87-88; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. **1986**, *25*, 105-106; T. Kuhlmann, S. Roth, J. Rozière, W. Siebert, U. Zenneck, Synthetic Metals 1987, 19, 757-762.
- ^[11] H. Wadepohl, H. Pritzkow, W. Siebert, Chem. Ber. 1985, 118, 729 -- 740.
- ^[12] K. Jonas, C. Krüger, Angew. Chem. 1980, 92, 513-531; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1980, 19, 520-538.
- ^[13] W. Siebert, M. Bochmann, J. Edwin, C. Krüger, Y.-H. Tsay, Z. Naturforsch., Teil B, **1978**, 33, 1410-1416.
- ^[14] M. O. Hall, R. F. Fenske, Inorg. Chem. 1972, 11, 768-772.
- ^[15] W. Siebert, L. Zhu, unpublished result.
- ^[16] J. W. Lauher, M. Elian, R. H. Summerville, R. Hoffmann, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 3219-3224.
- ^[17] M. F. Rettig, R. S. Drago, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 1361-1364.
- ^[18] H. Nöth, H. Suchy, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. **1968**, 358, 44–49. ^[19] W. Siebert, U. Ender, W. Herter, Z. Naturforsch., Teil B, **1985**, 40, 326 - 330.
- ^[20] A. E. Finholt, A. C. Bond, Jr., H. I. Schlesinger, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1947, 69, 1199-1203.
- ^[21] J. J. Eisch, R. B. King, Organomet. Synthesis 1965, 1, 119-121.
- ^[22] Further details of the crystal structure investigation may be obtained from the Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe, Gesellschaft für wissenschaftlich-technische Information mbH, W-7514 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen 2, FRG, on quoting the depository number CSD-57177, the names of the authors and the journal citation.
- ^[23] G. M. Sheldrick, SHELX76, Program for Crystal Structure Determination, Cambridge, 1976; SHELXS86, Göttingen, 1986.

[15/93]